

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, DC 20554

	)	
In re the Application of	)	
	)	
Advanced Television Systems	)	MB Docket No. 87-268
And Their Impact Upon the	)	
Existing Television Broadcast	)	
Service	)	
	)	

To: The Commission

**SUPPLEMENT TO COMMENTS OF KETCHIKAN TV, LLC**

On January 26, 2007, Ketchikan TV, LLC (KTV), by its attorney and pursuant to Section 1.415 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.415, filed timely Comments in this proceeding. Due to a computer processing anomaly that was discovered on January 27, 2007, an engineering attachment that was referenced in the pleading was inadvertently omitted. Attached hereto is the timely-filed pleading with the referenced attachment.

We regret the error and trust that this does not inconvenience the Commission staff. Please associate the attached with the timely-filed Comments.

Respectfully submitted,

KETCHIKAN TV, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Talens  
*Its Attorney*

6017 Woodley Road  
McLean, VA 22101  
703.241.1144

[jtalens@verizon.net](mailto:jtalens@verizon.net)

January 27, 2007

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, DC 20554

_____	)	
In re the Application of	)	
	)	
Advanced Television Systems	)	MB Docket No. 87-268
And Their Impact Upon the	)	
Existing Television Broadcast	)	
Service	)	
_____	)	

To: The Commission

**COMMENTS OF KETCHIKAN TV, LLC**

Ketchikan TV, LLC (KTV), by its attorney and pursuant to Section 1.415 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.415, hereby submits its comments in response to the Seventh Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the above-captioned proceeding.<sup>1</sup> By its comments, KTV requests an alternative channel assignment from the proposed channel that appears in Appendix A of the NPRM, Proposed Rule Changes, and in Appendix B, Proposed DTV Table of Allotments Information.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> *Seventh Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in MB Docket No. 87-268*, 71 Fed. Reg. 66591 (Nov. 15, 2006).

<sup>2</sup> Proposed Rule Changes, Part 73, Section 73.622(i), Digital television table of allotments.

In its NPRM, the Commission recognized that there are certain situations involving possible harmful interference or coordination challenges that warrant requests for alternative channel assignments. Specifically, it found that:

At this stage in the DTV channel election process, we will consider requests for alternative channel assignments only from . . . (3) licensees with TCDs for low-VHF channels (channels 2-6). . . .<sup>3</sup>

KTV (KTNL-DTV) holds TCD channel 2 in Sitka, Alaska, exactly the case identified by the Commission as warranting an alternative channel assignment.

Examination of the channel options available in Sitka suggests that channel 7 would represent an appropriate choice that would not cause or be subject to interference from sources within its service area. Attached hereto is an engineering analysis supporting KTV's request for DTV channel 7 in Sitka, Alaska.<sup>4</sup>

Accordingly, KTV requests that the Commission modify proposed Section 73.622(i) of the Rules to show Sitka assigned channel 7.

Respectfully submitted,  
  
KETCHIKAN TV, LLC

---

<sup>3</sup> See NPRM at para. 25.

<sup>4</sup> See Attachment from consulting engineer Byron St. Clair.

January 26, 2007

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Talens  
*Its Attorney*

6017 Woodley Road  
McLean, VA 22101  
703.241.1144  
[jtalens@verizon.net](mailto:jtalens@verizon.net)